

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/923,672	08/06/2001	Larrie A. Deardurff	10010211-1	1525
7590 09/15/2004 HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY Intellectual Property Administration			EXAMINER	
			SHEWAREGED, BETELHEM	
P.O. Box 272400			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Fort Collins, Co	O 80527-2400		1774	

DATE MAILED: 09/15/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisom, Addom	09/923,672	DEARDURFF ET AL.				
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit				
'	Betelhem Shewareged	1774				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe						
THE REPLY FILED 19 August 2004 FAILS TO PLACE T Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to av final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	THIS APPLICATION IN CONDIT void abandonment of this applica ) a timely filed amendment which with appeal fee); or (3) a timely	TION FOR ALLOWANCE. ation. A proper reply to a				
	EPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	e of the final rejection.					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing S FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH	ng date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of t (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offic timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	of extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the mail	ount of the fee. The appropriate extension				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal o	eriod set forth in of the appeal.				
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be		• •				
(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note be		<i>,</i> .				
(c)  they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mater	rially reducing or simplifying the				
(d)  they present additional claims without canceling	ng a corresponding number of fi	inally rejected claims.				
NOTE:		•				
$3. \square$ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be canceling the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>						
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for rapplication in condition for allowance because: See	reconsideration has been consideration Sheet.	dered but does NOT place the				
<ol> <li>The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered beca raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.</li> </ol>	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment (explanation of how the new or amended claims work.)	s) a) will not be entered or b) uld be rejected is provided belo	☐ will be entered and an w or appended.				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed: 21,22,25 and 26.						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: 27.						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 1-10,28 and	/ <b>29</b> .					
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appro		ne Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement						
0. Other:	χ-, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	·				
Patent and Trademark Office						

B/13/04

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's argument is based on that picking and choosing of moieties is impermissible in the absence of specific directions or suggestions to do so. This argument is not persuasive because the prior art gives directions as to what to pick. The p represents an integer from 0-3, thus the number of Xps can either be 0, 1, 2 or 3, and are being selected from the paragraph bridging col. 2 and col. 3. As shown above, Xp1 is COOH (col. 2, line 64); Xp2 is OH (col. 2, line 63), therefore, claim 27 is taught by the prior art..

2